HUNTER COLLEGE City University of New York OFFICE OF THE HUNTER COLLEGE SENATE

MINUTES

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate 25 October 1989

	The 247th meeting of the Hunter College Senate was convened at 4:05 PM in Room W714.		
Presiding:	Jo Kirsch, Chair	3	
Attendance:	The elected members of the Senate with the exception of those listed in Appendix I.		
Minutes:	The Minutes of September 27th were approved as distributed.		
Report by the President:	A summary statement of President LeClerc's report to the Senate is attached as Appendix II.		
Report by the Administrative Committee:	Prof. Kirsch suggested that the agenda be changed so that the special election to fill vacant seats on the Senate be the first order of business. It was so moved, and the motion carried by voice vote.	9 10 11	
	a. Special Election to fill vacant seats on the Senate: The following two students were nominated for membership on the Senate:	13 14	
	Daniel Wright (Political Science) Desire Ma (Psychology/Communications)	15 16	
	A motion to approve the nominations as presented carried by hand vote.	17	
	Voting cards were distributed to the newly elected senators.	18	
	b. Election of Chair-Panel for Search Committee for Dean of Social Sciences: Prof. Kirsch presented the following list of nominees for the Chair-Panel, as distributed, and reminded the Senate that in accordance with the procedures each voter must vote for exactly 3 candidates:	19 20 21 22	
_1	Prof. Elizabeth Beaujour (Classics) Prof. Marlies Danziger (English) Ms. Jo-Ann Morgan (Academic Skills) Prof. Louise Fox (Educational Foundations) Prof. Stuart Ewen (Communications)	23 24 25 26 27	
	Ballots were distributed.	28	
	While awaiting the results of voting by written ballot, the Senate moved on to the next item of business.	29 30	
	c. Election of Member-Panel of 8 faculty and 6 students for Search Committee for Dean of Social Sciences: Prof. Kirsch presented the list of nominees as distributed.	31 32 33	
	A motion to approve the slate of 8 faculty and 5 students for the Member-Panel for the Search Committee for Dean of Social Sciences carried by voice vote.	34 35 36	
	The following were elected.	37	
	Faculty: Prof. Louise Lenihan (Anthropology) Prof. Burt Pasternak (Anthropology) Prof. Cordelia Reimers (Economics) Prof. Andrew Polsky (Political Science) Prof. Joan Tronto (Political Science) Prof. Sam Korn (Psychology) Prof. Robert Carter (Sociology) Prof. Eugenie Birch (Urban Affairs)	38 39 40 41 42 43 44	

	Students: Evan Lazarus (Political Science) Gus Karistinos (Political Science) Lorie Nicholas (Psychology) Susan Mello (Sociology) Susan Tucker (Urban Affairs)	46 47 48 49 50
d.	Election of Chair-Panel for Search Committee for Chief Librarian: Prof. Kirsch presented the following list of nominees as distributed:	51 52
	Prof. Jane Benardete (English) Prof. Francis Conant (Anthropology) Prof. Sam Korn (Pschology) Prof. George Yudice (Romance Languages) Prof. Arnold Gibbons (Communications)	53 54 55 56 57
	Ballots were distributed.	58
	While awaiting the result of voting by written ballot the Senate moved on to the next item of business:	59 60
e.	Election of Member-Panel of 8 faculty and 6 students for Search Committee for Chief Librarian: Prof. Kirsch presented the list of nominees as distributed. She reminded the Senate that that the procedures specify that the Senate elect a panel of 8 faculty (including two members of the Library staff) and 6 students, from which the President will choose a search committee of 4 faculty (including one member of the Library staff) and 3 students.	61 62 63 64 65 66 67
	She proposed that the following five student nominees be approved as a slate:	68 69
	Adeline Sicardo (Black & Puerto Rican Studies) Regine Elie (Psychology) Mita Vyas (Political Science) Sara Perkovic (Chemistry) Diane Smith (Honors Program)	70 71 72 73 74 75
	It was so moved and the motion carried.	76
	Ballots were then distributed for the election of 8 faculty for the Member-Panel.	77 78
	Prof. Kirsch reminded the Senate that in accordance with procedures a ballot containing more than 8 votes or fewer than 4 votes would be declared invalid.	79 80 81
	After discussion, Vice President Fishman moved that the floor be opened for an additional student nomination for the Member-Panel. The motion carried by voice vote.	82 83 84
	Ms. Kian Frederick (Evening Student Government) was nominated.	85
	The motion to add Ms. Frederick to the list of student nominees for the Member-Panel for the Search Committee for Chief Librarian carried by voice vote.	86 87 88
	While awaiting the results of voting by written ballot for the election of 8 faculty members the Senate moved on the the next item of business.	89 90
f.	Approved Curriculum Changes The following curriculum changes as listed in the report dated 25 October 1989 were approved as per Senate resolution, and were submitted for the Senate's information: Item US-726 (Health & Physical Education).	91 92 93 94
g•	Resolution concerning Committee Membership Rotation Prof. Kirsch informed the Senate that several individuals had requested that the Senate set up procedures which would provide a greater opportunity for interested members of the Hunter community to serve on Senate committees.	95 96 97 98 99

elected.

	The Administrative Committee is, th tion:	erefore, proposing the following resolu-	100 101
	BE IT RESOLVED, that the tenshall be three years; and,	rm for Senate committee membership	102 103
	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Senate committee members who wish to continue to serve on their respective committees shall be eligible for re-election by the Senate in accordance with procedures specified in Article VIII, Section 1 A of the Hunter College Governance Charter.		
		would begin for the Fall semester 1990 we served on a committee for three or	109 110 111
	After discussion the motion carried b	y hand vote with 2 abstentions.	112
Committee Reports:	Nominating Committee Dr. Marilyn Rothschild, Chair of the Committee, presented the Committee's slate to fill vacant seats on Senate committees.		113 114 115
	A motion to approve the slate as distributioning were elected:	ated carried by voice vote, and the fol-	116 117
	Undergraduate Course of Study Comm	nittee	118
	Student:	Lisa Conklin (Physics/Chinese)	119
	Student Alternate:	Theresa Forte (Political Science)	120
	Committee on the Calendar Faculty from Social Sciences:	Sally McLendon (Anthropology)	121 122
	Grade Appeals Committee		123
	Student: Student Alternate:	Theresa Forte (Political Science) Lisa Conklin (Physics/Chinese)	124 125
	Master Plan Committee Faculty from Health Professions:	Gary Krasilovsky (Health Sciences)	126 127
	Committee on Evaluation of Teaching Faculty Alternate:	Sumit Ganguly (Political Science)	128 129
	Review Commission on Distribution F	Requirements	130
	Faculty:	Fulton Ross (Communications)	131
	- 404-070	Charles Heatwole (Geol. & Geog.)	132
	Student:	Robert Ayers (Health Sciences) Theresa Forte (Political Science)	133 134
	Announcement of Election Results:		135
	Chair-Panel for Search Committee for De	an of Social Sciences	136
	A total number of 86 ballots were cast. Voting by written ballot for electing		
	a Chair-Panel of three for the Search C produced the following results:		138 139
	Prof. Elizabeth Beaujour (Classics)	70 votes	140
	Prof. Marlies Danziger (English)	50 votes	141
	Ms. Jo-Ann Morgan (Academic Skills)		142
	Prof. Louise Fox (Educ. Foundations)	38 votes	143
	Prof. Stuart Ewen (Communications)	58 votes	144
	Professors Elizabeth Beaujour, Marlies Da	anziger, and Stuart Ewen were declared	145 146

	Chair-Panel for Search Committee for Chief Librarian A total number of 89 ballots were cast. Voting by written ballot for electing			
	a Chair-Panel of three for the Search Committee for Chief Librarian produced			
	the following results:	•	149 150	
	Prof. Jane Benardete (English)	61 votes	151	
	Prof. Francis Conant (Anthropology)	52 votes	152	
	Prof. Sam Korn (Psychology)	68 votes	153	
	Prof. George Yudice (Romance Languages)	44 votes	154	
	Prof. Arnold Gibbons (Communications)	42 votes	155	
	Professors Jane Benardete, Francis Conant, and Sam Kor	n were declared elected.	156	
	Member-Panel of 8 Faculty for Search Committee for Chief Librarian			
	A total number of 87 ballots were cast. The results o	f voting in the order of	158	
	category and the highest number of votes achieved pro	oduced the following re-	159	
	sults:		160	
	Prof. Elliot Kaback (Library)	35 votes	161	
	Prof. Milton Mittelman (Library)	28 votes	162	
	Prof. Harry Rodriguez (Black & Puerto Rican St.)	30 votes	163	
	Prof. Francis Conant (Anthropology	45 votes	164	
	Prof. Jeffrey Osleeb (Geology & Geography)	21 votes	165	
	Prof. Athlyn Sawyer (Academic Skills)	31 votes	166	
	Prof. Marilyn Auerbach (Health Sciences)	30 votes	167	
	Prof. Stanley Moses (Urban Affairs)	36 votes	168	
New Business:	Mr. James Goodman as a representative of the students in the Honors Program		169	
	requested that the appropriate Senate committee consider a plus/minus grading		170	
	system.		171	
	After brief discussion, a motion to adjourn was made.	The motion carried, and	172	
	the meeting was adjourned at 5:05 PM.		173	

Respectfully submitted,

Secretary

Minutes

Meeting of the Hunter College Senate

October 25, 1989

APPENDIX I

The following members were noted as absent from the meeting:

FACULTY:

Academic Skills:

Hector Martinez "E"

Milagros Garcia

Robin Soto

Anthropology:

John Oates

Josh DeWind

Art:

Wayne Dynes

Richard Stapleford

Biology:

Ezra Shahn "E"

Marcia Brody

Classics:

Elizabeth Beaujour

Computer Science:

Stewart Weiss

Eric Schweitzer

Curricululm & Teaching:

Richard Smolens

Economics:

Howard Chernick

Educational Foundations: Sheryl Graves

English

Sybil Brinberg

Geology & Geography:

Richard Liebling

Health Sciences:

Deborah Blocker "E"

Jan Edwards

History:

James Harrison

Mathematics:

Sandra Clarkson Barbara Barone

Philosophy:

Gerald Press "E"

Political Science:

Michael Jaworskyj Carolyn Somerville Vincent Tirelli

Psychology:

Sam Korn

Romance Languages:

Alex Szogyi

Social Work:

Judith Rosenberger Roberta Graziano

Special Education:

Marsha Lupi "E" Marsha Smith-Lewis

Student Services:

Reva Cohen

Theatre & Film:

Ellen Sumter Dan Koetting Stan Davis "E"

Urban Affairs:

Stanley Moses William Milzarsky

Dean Carlos Hortas

STUDENTS:

Blaise Hancock John Nilsen Ellen Parker "E"

Joseph Weiss Karen Morita Dennis Bianco Andrew Dell'Olio Shannon Gibney

Sandra Maxwell

Simon Campbell Michael Lazzarotti Beatrice Vides Wendy Chin "E" Rick Rozos

Francis Quilang Prem Isaac Christopher Burns

Wayne Hodge Constance Simmons Raymond Dash Sabina Alteras Sandy Lee

Joan Winkfield Woei-Ming New

Estelle Sauerstrom "E"

Kim Ray

Adria Quinones Mark Windley Edgar Paez Guy Conti Norma Moy Lisa Brody Rudolph Gianaccia Teresa Forte

Evelyn Li Dragan Milanovic Olga Pajovic Barbara Murray

APPENDIX II

The following is a sumamry statement of President LeClerc's report to the Senate:

He said: "At the last meeting I told you about Eugene Lang's generosity to the College. I am pleased to announce formally this afternoon that he has made another gift to us since then. Mr. Lang will underwrite the cost of joint student and faculty research projects that look as if they will result in publication. Students will receive credit along with the faculty person with whom they worked for the publication. We will start small with \$4000 this year. We estimate that \$2000 per team would be sufficient funding for the first phase of this project. Over the course of the next several weeks the Provost's office will be putting together a series of protocols which will govern the way in which students and faculty are chosen to be the first teams. This is a nice new initiative for us at the College.

Our plans for the North Building and the renovation projects will soon begin. As you know, we were able to shift the funding for a series of improvements from the capital budget to the Dormitory Authority. The actual transfer of title of the North Building to the Dormitory Authority is expected to take place before the beginning of the new year. We are talking about \$20.1M to be expended on construction work on floors four, five, and nine of the North Building. It will take about 2½ years before the three floors will be completely rehabed. We expect to know the details of the construction schedule sometime next month. As soon as we know, we will meet with the affected departments on those three floors and will walk through the construction schedule very carefully with them so that everybody knows exactly what's going to be happening and when it is scheduled to happen. A number of classrooms are going to be displaced as a result of this work, and we are now in the process of looking elsewhere on campus for room for about 20 temporary classrooms for the next 2% years. We expect the actual work to begin sometime around March 1990. The first phase of construction will be asbestos removal, containment, or abatement as appropriate to specific sites. Once that work is done, the real construction work will take place. Once it is all finished, we will have three spanking new floors, and the long-range plan for the North Building as it presently exists will have been completed. We have to start a new Master Plan for the remaining floors of the North Building that have not yet been touched. We hope to be meeting with representatives of the City University construction group over the course of the next several weeks to begin the pre-planning process for this new Master Plan.

In the 1990-1991 budget request we have included the sum of \$3.8M to automate, modernize, and renovate all of the existing elevators in the North Building. This request is very high on our priority list. I cannot guarantee what the State will do with the request, but we are going to try by hook and by crook to get the necessary money.

In response to a question from the floor concerning the report by the Task Force on Science, Engineering, Technology, and Mathematics, President LeClerc said:" We have requested more copies of the report from 80th Street. My copy and the Provost's copy both went to the Division of Sciences & Mathematics for circulation among the various departments. This is a very troublesome document from at least two points of view. It is troublesome by virtue of its content, and it is troublesome by virtue of the way in which the Committee was put together. I would add thirdly, that it is troublesome from the point of view that it got into the New York Times. You may have read that story which characterized science at CUNY as being at the brink of disaster. The colleges were not consulted at all about the composition of the committee. This is a committee that was charged with looking at the quality of science, technology, mathematics, and engineering at the University, making recommendations for the improvement of those disciplines. So Hunter, which is very important in science in general and certainly important in the City University system, had but one representative on the committee, whereas City College had four representatives. I think the document is exceedingly naive from a political point of view. It disparages in

Minutes
Meeting of the Hunter College Senate
25 October 1989

totally unfair ways the accomplishments of Hunter scientists by coming up with a sort of blanket condemnation for the quality of science that is done on most of the campuses of the university. It calls for things that are hard to conceive ever happening at this college or at this university system. For instance, it calls for reduced teaching loads for science faculty whereby young scientists would teach two courses a year, senior faculty in the sciences would teach three courses a year; a separate salary schedule; the budgets for sciences would be taken away from the campuses and be given to a deputy vice chancellor for science, engineering, mathematics, and technology at 80th Street, who would thereby sort of run sciences at CUNY. It calls for establishing centers, which means that there would be a diminution as a consequence of the level of investment in all the sciences at campuses such as this. Ph.D. programs in the sciences would be taken away from the graduate school, sending them on to specific campuses. I find it to be a not impressive document. I am told that implementation of the recommendations of that Task Force would cost a quarter billion dollars. How realistic is that? And how realistic is it to take programs away from campuses that are doing very good work, or to spread the word that what seems to be taking place at some campuses is not of high quality. That is a disservice to us, and I think that is the impression that the Task Force report yields for Hunter."